UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): June 10, 2022

SPRINGWORKS THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation) 001-39044 (Commission File Number) 83-4066827 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

100 Washington Blvd Stamford, CT 06902 (Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(203) 883-9490

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable (Former Name or Former Address, if Changed Since Last Report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

□ Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

□ Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

□ Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

□ Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Trading Symbol(s)	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share	SWTX	The Nasdaq Global Select Market

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an emerging growth company as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933 (§ 230.405 of this chapter) or Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (§ 240.12b-2 of this chapter).

Emerging growth company \Box

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Item 8.01. Other Events.

On June 10, 2022, SpringWorks Therapeutics, Inc. ("SpringWorks" or the "Company") hosted a virtual research and development day (the "R&D Day"). The program included presentations by members of the SpringWorks' executive leadership team as well as external thought leaders in SpringWorks' core development areas. A copy of the Company's presentation materials for the R&D Day is attached hereto as Exhibit 99.1 to this Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.

Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) Exhibits.

Exhibit No.	Description
<u>99.1</u>	R&D Day Presentation by SpringWorks Therapeutics, Inc. on June 10, 2022.
104	Cover page interactive data file (embedded within the Inline XBRL document)

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Date: June 10, 2022

SpringWorks Therapeutics, Inc.

By: /s/ Francis I. Perier, Jr. Francis I. Perier, Jr.

Chief Financial Officer

SpringWorks R&D Day

June 10, 2022

Res SpringWorks



Forward-Looking Statements

Note: Unless otherwise indicated, the information presented herein is as of June 2022 and made publicly available on June 10, 2022.

This presentation may contain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended, relating to our business, operations, and financial conditions, including but not limited to current beliefs, expectations and assumptions regarding the future of our business, future plans and strategies, our development plans, our preclinical and clinical results, our plans to report additional data from the Phase 3 DeFi clinical trial at an upcoming medical conference, the potential for the results of the Phase 3 DeFi clinical trial to support an NDA submission the timing of our planned NDA submission for nirogacestat, and our plans for seeking regulatory approval for and making nirogacestat available to desmoid tumor patients, if approved, as well as relating to other future conditions. Words such as, but not limited to, "look forward to," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "intend," "plan," "would," "should" and "could," and similar expressions or words, identify forward-looking statements. New risks and uncertainties may emerge from time to time, and it is not possible to predict all risks and uncertainties. Any forward-looking statements or results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by any forward-looking statements containined in this presentation, including, without limitation, risks relating to: (i) the success and timing of our product development activities, including the initiation and completion of SpringWorks' clinical trials, (ii) the fact that topline or interim data from the Phase 3 DeFi trial or other ongoing and planned clinical trials, (iv) the timing of our planned regulatory submissions and interactions, including the NDA for ninogacestat planned for the second half of 2022 and the timing and outcome of decisions made by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and other regulatory authorities, investigational review boards at clinical trial sites and publication review bodies; (v) whether FDA or other regulator

Except as required by applicable law, we do not plan to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements contained herein, whether as a result of any new information, future events, changed circumstances or otherwise. Although we believe the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Accordingly, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

For further information regarding the risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause differences between SpringWorks' expectations and actual results, you should review the 'Risk Factors' section(s) of our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Certain information contained in this presentation relates to or is based on studies, publications, surveys and other data obtained from third-party sources and our own internal estimates and research. While SpringWorks believes these third-party sources to be reliable as of the date of this presentation, we have not independently verified, and make no representation as to the adequacy, fairness, accuracy or completeness of, any information obtained from third-party sources. In addition, all of the market data included in this presentation involves a number of assumptions and limitations, and there can be no guarantee as to the accuracy or reliability of such assumptions. Finally, while we believe our own internal research is reliable, such research has not been verified by any independent source.

SpringWorks Leadership Team and External Speakers Participating Today

External Key Opinion Leaders SpringWorks Participants Saqib Islam Badreddin Edris, PhD L. Mary Smith, PhD Chief Executive Officer Chief Operating Officer Chief Development Officer Breelyn Wilky, MD Neal Rosen, MD, PhD Director of Sarcoma Medical Director, Center for Mechanism-Oncology, Deputy Associate Director for Clinical Research Based Therapy, Enid A. Haupt Chair in Medical Oncology University of Colorado, Denver Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York Mike Burgess, MBChB, PhD Jim Cassidy, MD, PhD Chief Medical Officer **Bhavesh Ashar** Head of R&D Chief Commercial Officer & SpringWorks

Agenda

Program	Session	Presenter	
	Introduction and Business Overview	Saqib Islam Badreddin Edris, PhD	
	KOL Presentation: Unmet Need in Desmoid Tumors	Bree Wilky, MD (CU Denver)	
	Clinical Experience in Desmoid Tumors	Mary Smith, PhD	
Nirogacestat	Desmoid Tumor Commercial Opportunity	Bhavesh Ashar	
	Additional Expansion Opportunity	Badreddin Edris, PhD	
	BCMATherapy Combination Development	Mike Burgess, MBChB, PhD	
	Program Break		
	Mirdametinib: NF1-PN	Mary Smith, PhD	
	Mirdametinib: Additional Expansion Opportunities	Jim Cassidy, MD, PhD	
MAPK	Mirdametinib + Lifirafenib: Combination Development	Jim Cassidy, MD, PhD	
1 daniay	KOL Presentation: Introduction to BGB-3245	Neal Rosen, MD, PhD (MSKCC)	
	BGB-3245: Initial Clinical Data and Program Update	Jim Cassidy, MD, PhD	
Preclinical Pipeline	TEAD and EGFR Inhibitor Program Overview	Mike Burgess, MBChB, PhD	
	Closing Remarks Q&A	Saqib Islam	
		کو 'Sp	

Introduction

Saqib Islam, Chief Executive Officer Badreddin Edris, PhD, Chief Operating Officer





SpringWorks' Efforts Over the Past 5 Years Have Led To...

2022	First NDA filing on track for later this year
2	Marketed products by 2025 with potential to serve patients across 4 indications
\$381M	Runway into 2024 ⁽¹⁾
with profound living	the singular goal of making a d impact on the lives of people g with devastating cancers

& SpringWorks

Executive Leadership Team: Demonstrated Track Record of Advancing and Commercializing Transformative Oncology Therapies



Repeatable and Sustainable Business Model Has Driven Rapid Portfolio Expansion in Areas of Significant Unmet Need



has grown portfolio from 2 to 19 active R&D programs in under 5 years

8

Rev SpringWorks

Unlocking the Full Potential of Every Molecule



Diversified Targeted Oncology Pipeline Spanning Solid Tumors and Hematological Cancers

Compound	Indication	Development Approach	Preclinical	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Collaborator(s)	
	D	Monotherapy (adult)				⊳DeF		
	Desmoid Tumors*	Monotherapy (pediatric)					CHELSER N. CHELSER N. CHELSER N.	
	Ovarian Granulosa Cell Tumors	Monotherapy						
		+ BLENREP (belantamab mafodotin) (ADC)					GSK	
		+ ALLO-715 (CAR-T)					Allogene	
Nirogacestat Jamma Secretase Inhibitor		+ Teolistamab (Bispecific)					Janssen 7	
	Multiple Myeloma	+ PBCAR269A (CAR-T)	4				RECISION	
	(BCMA Combinations)	+ Elranatamab (Bispecific)					Pfizer	
		+ SEA-BCMA (mAb)					ÖSeagen	
		+ ABBV-383 (Bispecific)					abbvie	
		+ REGN5458 (Bispecific)					REGENERON	
	NF1-Associated Reciform Neurofibromas [†]	Monotherapy			() ReNeu			
	Pediatric Low-Grade Gliomas	Monotherapy					Research Fingers	
Mirdametinib MEK Inhibitor	MAPK Mutant Solid Tumors	+ Lifirafenib (Pan-RAF inhibitor)					🖲 BeiGene	
	ER+ Metastatic Breast Cancer	+ Fulvestrant (SERD)	Fulvestrant (SERD)				(1) Manaral Rose Service	
	MEK 1/2 Mutant Solid Turnors	Monotherapy					CoverCoder	
BGB-3245		Monotherapy					Strature (
RAF Fusion and Dimer Inhibitor	MAPK Mutant Solid Tumors	+ Mirdametinib (MEK inhibitor)					A Mapkure	
EAD Inhibitor Program	Hippo Mutant Turnors	Monotherapy and combo						
GFR Inhibitor Program	EGFR Mutant Tumors	Monotherapy and combo						

On the Path to Multiple Revenue Generating Opportunities by 2025



```
11
```

Today's Highlights

Clinical Data Across Our Three Oncology Segments	 Nirogacestat: Phase 3 DeFi topline results in desmoid tumors Nirogacestat + BCMA therapies: Initial clinical data from combo trial with GSK (low-dose BLENREP) Biomarker-defined solid tumors: Phase 1 b/2 initial data readout from MEK/RAF combo trial in RAS/RAF-mutant solid tumors Phase 1 initial data readout from BGB-3245 program in RAS/RAF-mutant solid tumors
Strategic Priorities to Drive Our Growth	 Launch preparation to serve patients with desmoid tumors in the U.S. beginning in 2023 Expand opportunity for nirogacestat into additional indication Continue development of mirdametinib both as a monotherapy and combination therapy Advance early-stage pipeline Continue disciplined capital allocation across R&D and BD

OUR COMMITMENT

IS TO MAKE A PROFOUND IMPACT FOR PEOPLE SUFFERING FROM RARE DISEASES AND CANCER

12

SpringWorks

Nirogacestat

SpringWorks

Nirogacestat: A Potentially Best-in-Class Gamma Secretase Inhibitor Being Evaluated Across Multiple Indications

- Nirogacestat is an investigational oral, selective gamma secretase inhibitor with over 10 years of clinical experience
- Fast Track and Breakthrough Therapy Designations received from FDA and Orphan Drug Designation received from both FDA and European Commission⁽¹⁾
- Achieved statistical significance on primary and all key secondary endpoints in Phase 3 DeFi trial in adult patients with progressing desmoid tumors
- Potential to become cornerstone of BCMA combination therapy in multiple myeloma with eight current collaborations representing all major modalities

Anticipated NDA Filing in Desmoid Tumors:	2H 2022
Clinical Trials Ongoing or On Track for 2022 Initiation:	11
BCMA Collaborations:	8
US Composition of Matter and Method of Use patent protection:	2039

14 (1) Orphan Drug, Fast Track and Breakthrough Therapy Designations received from FDA for desmoid tumors and Orphan Drug Designation received from European Commission for soft tissue sarcon

& SpringWorks

Nirogacestat: Clinical Experience in Desmoid Tumors

L. Mary Smith, PhD, Chief Development Officer

SpringWorks

Encouraging Phase 2 Data in Progressing Desmoid Tumors Demonstrated at the Time of 2017 Publication



Note: Per RECIST 16/17 patients were evaluable. One treatment cycle = 150 mg BIO continuously for 21 days. Patient #1 had a miss due to travel requirements. Source: Kommar et all., Journal of Chinical Onecology, 2017. (1) 71% had received chemotherapy, 65% NSAID's, and 59% TKIs: 4/5 partial responses had previously failed imatinb or sorafenib. = 150 mg BID continuously for 21 days. Patient #1 had a missing baseline measurement (but had MRI). Patient #14 w study after cycle 1 & SpringWorks 17

With Maturation of Trial, 2022 Data Cut Demonstrated 4.4 Years of Median Time on Treatment



18 Source: O'Sullivan Coyne et al., ASCO, 2022.

- Among 17 treated patients, median time on treatment 4.4 years (range: 0.17 – 7.99 years)
- 4 patients remain on study after >7 years
- ORR = 29% (5/17) with no progressive disease maintained with long-term follow-up
- Nirogacestat was well tolerated
 - Most commonly (>50%) reported treatment-related AEs included diarrhea, fatigue, nausea, AST increase, lymphocyte decrease, hypophosphatemia, and rash (maculopapular)

RevingWorks

Phase 3 DeFi Trial Was Designed to Robustly Demonstrate Clinical Benefit of Nirogacestat

PHASE 3

▶ DeFi

Trial Summary

- · Global (North America and Europe), randomized (1:1), double-blind, placebo-controlled study
- 142 patients randomized with open label extension available upon radiographic disease progression
- 90% powered to show ~12-month median PFS difference between nirogacestat and placebo(1)

Summary of Endpoints

- Primary Endpoint: Progression-free survival⁽²⁾
- · Secondary and Exploratory Endpoints: Safety and tolerability, objective response rate (ORR), duration of response, volumetric tumor change assessed by MRI, patient-reported outcomes (PROs)



(1) A total of 51 events will provide 90% power and a 1-sided type 1 error rate of 0.025 (1-side hypothesis) to detect a difference between nicquoestat and placeto, assuming the median PFS is 20 months in the nicquoestat group and 8 months in the placeto. (2) PFS is defined as the time from randomization until the date of assessment of nuclographic progression as determined using RECISTv1.1, the date of assessment of clinical progression or death by any cause. Redographic or clinical progression as determined using RECISTv1.1, the date of assessment of clinical progression or death by any cause. Redographic or clinical progression determined using RECISTv1.1, the date of assessment of clinical progression or death by any cause. ebo group nined by (2) IPTS is defined as the time from tensormality and the second as the time from tensormality and tensor tensormality and ten SpringWorks

Nirogacestat Achieved Primary and All Key Secondary Endpoints in Phase 3 DeFi Trial

-	D	
	De	eFI

	Hazard Ratio (HR)	
Progression-Free Survival (PFS)	0.29 (95% CI: 0.15, 0.55)	< 0.001

 Results demonstrated a statistically significant improvement for nirogacestat over placebo, with a 71% reduction in risk of disease progression as assed by blinded independent central review (hazard ratio (HR) = 0.29; P < 0.001)

- Statistical significance was achieved on all key secondary endpoints, including objective response rate (ORR) and patient-reported outcomes (PROs)
- Nirogacestat was generally well tolerated with a manageable safety profile
 - The majority of women of child-bearing potential had adverse events consistent with ovarian dysfunction
 - Other adverse events were generally consistent with previously reported data
- Additional data are expected to be presented at an upcoming medical conference in 2H 2022

NDA filing for nirogacestat in desmoid tumors expected 2H 2022

20 Note: Topline data from Phase 3 DeFi trial were announced 05/24/22. RevingWorks

Putting Ovarian Dysfunction Into Context

What is ovarian dysfunction?	 Ovarian dysfunction is a constet Premature menopause⁽¹⁾ Menopause Ovarian failure Amenorrhea 	ellation of MedDRA preferred terms and includes:
How is ovarian dysfunction being evaluated in the DeFi trial?	 DeFi data analysis for the affer – Baseline demographics – Prior therapies – Potential for resolution – Time to onset and duration of even 	cted participants will include (but is not limited to): – Concomitant medications – Dose modifications – Hormone levels ents
ls reproductive toxicitya concem for other desmoid tumor treatments?	 Other therapeutic options for the impact ovarian function and/or Chemotherapy Tyrosine kinase inhibitors Radiation 	ne treatment of desmoid tumors have the potential to embryo-fetal health, including: – Hormone blockers

21 Note: MedDRA = Medical Didionary for Regulatory Add/Elles. (1)A disorder characterized by prematum ovarian failure. Symptoms may include hot flashes, night sweats, moodswings, and a decrease in sex drive. Laboratory findingsinable elevated/uteinldinghormone (LH) and follide-stimulating hormone (FSH).

Next Steps for the DeFi Program

Detailed study results expected to be presented at a medical conference and published in a peer-reviewed journal in 2H 2022

FDA NDA filing is projected for 2H 2022

- Nirogacestat currently has Orphan Drug, Fast Track, and Breakthrough Therapy Designations
- = FDA acknowledged that the nirogacestat NDA can be submitted under the Real-Time Oncology Review (RTOR) program
 - RTOR is an initiative of the FDA's Oncology Center of Excellence that aims to provide a more efficient review process to ensure that safe and effective treatments are available to patients as early as possible
 - Under the RTOR program, the FDA has access to clinical trial data before the information is formally submitted to the agency

Nirogacestat: Desmoid Tumor Commercial Opportunity

Bhavesh Ashar, Chief Commercial Officer

SpringWorks

We Are Eager to Serve the Desmoid Tumor Community



Res SpringWorks

Real-World Perspectives Highlight the Significant Unmet Need

"I do these surgeries but I worry, 'Did I get it all?' There are all kinds of risks with surgeries. There is loss of function, loss of mobility, and there can be potential amputation. – Surgical oncologist (sarcoma specialist)

"This is a slow, long-term process. These patients are frustrated because there is no end, no welldefined treatment options. There is no resolution of any kind and they do not know what the future holds." – Medical oncologist (community) "I have done 3 amputations in the last 2 years. I would argue that these are not benign tumors!"

 Surgical oncologist (sarcoma specialist)

"This tumor is aggressive. I have seen these start in the toes and then recur in the ankle. After some time, it recurred in the calf and later in the knee. The next recurrence was in the upper thigh and finally, it showed up in the groin area." - Radiation oncologist "The most challenging aspect of my journey is not being able to fix it. There is no solution or resolution to this, and the possible treatments are all worse than the actual symptoms for now. I just have to live with it, It's a weakness in my body that I cannot strengthen, control or overcome. That is, by far, the hardest thing to accept." What if it returns?" – Desmoid tumor patient

"My doctor suggested we start with a targeted chemo first. There were so many side effects from this drug. I got so overwhelmed I told my oncologist I needed to stop, that my body was falling apart." – Desmoid tumor patient "I shouldn't have agreed to surgery – I should have asked [my physician] to educate himself a bit more or to please refer me to someone that understood desmoid tumors better." – Desmoid tumor patient

"I am not able to physically move in my core area. Because of the scartissue I am having issues with my bowel and food digestion. It has caused a few painful trips to the ER and hospital stays. The surgical area and scars are not nice to look at. The fear of 'what if?' lingers in the back of my mind. What if more problems happen? What if it returns?"

Desmoid tumor patient

Desmoid tumors are anything but benign - their morbidities are "malignant"

25 Source: SWTX Qualitative Research with HCPs and patients.

Rev SpringWorks

Building Blocks to Support a Substantial Market Opportunity



Desmoid Tumor Physician Treatment Goals are Driven by Efficacy, Durability and Patient QoL



DeFi endpoints are well aligned to the most important desmoid tumor treatment goals

27 Source: SIVTX Primary Research. 2022. N=100 Oncologists.

& SpringWorks

Existing Off-label Systemic Therapy Options Have Significant Limitations

Therapy	FDA Approval Status for DT	Phase 3 Evidence	Statistically Significant Improvement in PFS (Primary Endpoint)	Statistically Significant Improvement in ORR (Secondary Endpoint)	Statistically Significant Improvement in PROs/QoL (Secondary Endpoint)	Female Reproductive Toxicity considerations
Nirogacestat (completed Phase 3)	NDA filing planned 2H22 Accepted under RTOR	Company-sponsored (N=142) 100% of patients progressing at enrollment per inclusion criteria, response and progression assessed by BICR	~	~	~	Yes
Sorafenib ⁽¹⁾	×	Investigator-sponsored (N=87) 38% of patients entering the study had a progressing desmoid tumor(s)	~	×	×	Yes
Imatinib ⁽²⁾	×	×	NA	NA	NA	Yes
Pazopanib ⁽³⁾	×	×	NA	NA	NA	Yes
Sunitinib ⁽⁴⁾	×	×	NA	NA	NA	Yes
Chemotherapy ⁽⁵⁾	×	×	NA	NA	NA	Yes

Lack of systemic therapy with strong efficacy, improved quality of life and manageable safety profile has led to a fragmented treatment landscape; nirogacestat profile supports potential to become standard of care, if approved

28 Sources: (1):Gounder et al., N Engl J Med, 2019; Nikowaru U SPI: Nike et al., Reprod Sci 2015; (2):Christopoulos et al., N Engl J Med, 2008; Palassini et al., Cancer J, 2017; Salem et al., J Assist Reprod Genet, 2020; Salem et al., Sci Rep, 2019; (3):De Sanctis et al., Medicine, 2019; Toulmonde et al., Lancet Oncol 2019; (4):Bernard et al., Poz-One, 2016; (5):Palassini et al., Cancer J, 2017; Salem et al., J Assist Reprod Genet, 2020; Salem et al., Sci Rep, 2019; (3):De Sanctis et al., Medicine, 2019; Toulmonde et al., Lancet Oncol 2019; (4):Bernard et al., Poz-One, 2016; (5):Palassini et al., Cancer J, 2017; Salem et al., Sci Rep, 2019; (3):De

Female Reproductive Toxicity Concerns Are Expected to Impact Treatment Decisions for a Minority of Patients and Apply to All Current DT Treatments

<10%⁽¹⁾ of Addressable DT Patients Are Expected to Forgo Treatment Due to Female Reproductive Toxicity Concerns



All Current Therapies Pose Fertility Concerns That Physicians Routinely Discuss with DT Patients⁽²⁾



 Note: DT: desimald tumors; reprot tox: reproductive toxicity.
 (1) Active desimald tumors; reprot tox: reproductive toxicity.
 (1) Active desimald tumors; reprot tox: reproductive toxicity.
 (1) Active desimald tumors; request and the sequence of the se & SpringWorks (2)29

Launch Activities Rapidly Advancing to Ensure Successful Preparation of Market, Organization and Brand

Preparing the Market

Establishing deep understanding of the unmet needs and identifying opportunities to generate awareness, educate, and improve the journey of patients with desmoid tumors

Preparing the Organization

Hiring teams and developing cross-functional strategic, operational, and tactical plans; building scalable infrastructure

Preparing the Brand

Generating evidence, building the brand, and preparing to bring differentiated value to patients, HCPs, and payers

& SpringWorks

Medical Affairs

✓ KOL engagement

Medical Education activities

Publication and Congresses

✓ Advocacy group engagement

✓ KOL engagement
 ✓ Disease State Education

✓ Go-to-market strategy

Marketing

Brand building

Market Access Sales ✓ Payer engagement ✓ Customer segmentation ✓ Value story / dossier ✓ Sales force sizing ✓ Distribution model ✓ Recruitment strategy ✓ Patient Support Strategy

Systems and commercial infrastructure build on track and commercial supply secured

Summary of the Desmoid Tumor Opportunity



Nirogacestat: Additional Expansion Opportunity

Badreddin Edris, PhD, Chief Operating Officer

SpringWorks



Nirogacestat has the potential to be the first targeted therapy for OvGCT patients

33

Nirogacestat in Ovarian Granulosa Cell Tumors: Monotherapy Expansion Opportunity in Rare Ovarian Cancer with Significant Unmet Need

Disease Characteristics

- Ovarian granulosa cell tumors (OvGCT) are a rare ovarian cancer subtype that are usually slowgrowing and have limited impact on mortality, resulting in a large prevalent patient population
- OvGCT are most commonly diagnosed in women during the perimenopausal / early postmenopausal period (median diagnosis age of 50 years)
- Patients typically present with abdominal pain and abnormal or postmenopausal bleeding alongside a large pelvic or abdominal mass
- Recurrences can occur late, thereby requiring long-termsurveillance and intervention to avoid bulky disease that is resistant to therapy



- OvGCT accounts for ~5% of all ovarian cancers
- Estimated US incidence of 1,500-2,000 per year with a significant pool of prevalent patients of ~10,000-15,000



- No currently approved therapies and limited treatment options
- Surgery is mainstay of treatment, but ~40% of patients experience recurrence
- Systemic therapies (e.g., chemo, bevacizumab, paclitaxel and carboplatin) have shown limited benefit and tolerability

Source: Dridi et al., Int J Surg Oncol, 2018; SEER

Rev SpringWorks

Gamma Secretase Inhibitors Could Address Need for Targeted Therapy Options in OvGCT

OvGCT are potentially susceptible to gamma secretase inhibition due to mutations in *FOXL2*

>97% of Ov GCT are driven by C124W mutation in FOXL2, a transcription factor required for development and function of granulosa cells

Mutant FOXL2 alters multiple signaling pathways and gene expression of granulosa cells related to proliferation and apoptosis

Notch signaling has been shown to block apoptosis and increase proliferation of OvGCT cells

Preclinically, GSIs have been able to address the fundamental driver mutation in this tumor type

Threshold for inclusion in NCCN guidelines likely to be low given precedents and limited therapeutic options

- Modest activity in clinical studies has been observed for single agents and combination regimens to date
- Single arm trials with published data have been sufficient to support inclusion of regimens in NCCN Guidelines
- Select benchmark data from completed OvGCT trials:

	Single agent bevacizumab	Single agent paclitaxel vs. Paclitaxel + bevacizumab		
Church	Ph 2 single	Ph 2 ALIENOR/ENGOT-ov7 randomized trial (n = 60)		
Study	N = 36	Paclitaxel N = 32	Bev + paclitaxe N = 28	
ORR	17%	23%	44%	
mPFS	9.3 mo	14.9 mo	14.7 mo	

34 Note: GSI: gamma secretase inhibitor, NCRI: National Comprehensive Cancer Network; Ox/GCT: ovarian granulosa celtumor; ORR: objective response rate; PFS: progression-free survir Sources: Li et al., Journal of Ovarian Research; 2018; Inste et al., Biol Reprod; 2013; Ray-Coquard et al., JAMA Oncol, 2020; Brown et al., Cancer, 2014. Rev SpringWorks
Emerging Insights From OvGCT Physician Market Research

- Preliminary market research survey conducted to assess practice demographics and current treatment practices and to gather feedback on physician need for new treatments for OvGCT
 - Responses were collected from 31 physicians, including OB-GYNs, gynecologic oncologists, gynecologic surgeons and medical oncologists, with practices covering the academic and community settings
 - On average, each of these physicians currently treats ~5 OvGCT patients, with ~15 patients treated per physician in the last 5 years
- Key insights relating to perceived unmet need and current limitations of existing treatments noted by physicians include:
- Need for options in the post-surgical recurrent setting, placing emphasis on long-term control after first recurrence and reducing recurrence rate
 Need for late-line options that do not involve surgery
- Need for rate-line options that do not involve surgery

35

- Improvements in screening with better diagnosis, predictive testing for stage and risk of recurrence as well as non-invasive screening options

"There are no good options for patients after relapsed disease. Surgical and radiation options are quite poor. Systemic therapy is very much needed."	"An oral option for treatment allows for greater access to care. Many patients will review an oral treatment favorably as compared to an IV."
– Gynecological Medical Oncologist	– Gynecologic Surgeon
Note: Ov GCT: ovaries granubsa cell turnor: Source: SpringWorks marketresearch.	Reviewers SpringWorks

Phase 2 Study of Nirogacestat in Recurrent Ovarian Granulosa Cell Tumors

PHASE 2

Trial Summary

- Single-arm open label study to determine the efficacy, tolerability, safety, and pharmacokinetics of nirogacestat for the treatment of recurrent OvGCT
- Expected enrollment of ~40 patients
 - Principal Investigator: Dr. Panagiotis Konstantinopoulos at Dana-Farber Cancer Institute
- IND cleared in December 2021

Summary of Endpoints

- Primary Endpoint: Objective response rate by RECIST1.1
- Secondary Endpoints: Progression-free survival, overall survival, duration of response, safety and tolerability, and quality of life assessments



First site activation anticipated in June 2022

36 Note: BID:twice daily: IND: investigational newdrug.

Nirogacestat: BCMA Combination Therapy Development

Mike Burgess, MBChB, PhD, Head of Research and Development



Nirogacestat is positioned to be a potential cornerstone of BCMA combination therapy

Nirogacestat in Multiple Myeloma: A Potentially Best-in-Class Combination Backbone for BCMA-Directed Therapies

Rationale and Development Strategy

- Gamma secretase directly cleaves membrane BCMA, a clinically validated multiple myeloma target across modalities (ADC, CAR T, mAb, bispecific)
- Emerging clinical data and strong preclinical synergy support combining gamma secretase inhibitors across BCMA modalities
- Pursuing broad collaboration strategy with leading BCMA therapy developers to generate a diverse dataset to position nirogacestat as the "go-to" GSI for MM



- ~40,000 multiple myeloma patients receiving 1L and 2L therapy annually in the US⁽¹⁾
- ~15,000 relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma patients receiving 3L+ therapy annually in the US⁽¹⁾
- Combination use being investigated across all BCMA-targeted therapy modalities
- Potential for use alongside SoC MM therapies across lines of treatment

Note: ADC: antibody-drug conjugate; CAR T: chimeric antigen receptor T-cel; BCMA: B-cell maturation antigen; GSI: gamma secretase inhibitor; mAb: monodonal antibody: MM: multiple myeloma; SOC: standard of caree. Source: (1) Supplet 44. C. Cancer OSI Standard 2022, DRG market research.

Multiple Myeloma is a Large and Evolving Market

- Multiple myeloma represents the 3rd largest oncology revenue opportunity despite having a lower 5-year prevalence than solid tumors including breast, prostate, lung, and colorectal
 - Opportunity driven by long durations of therapy, particularly in early lines
 - First- and second-line drug sales account for ~75% of total market
- Combination regimens are utilized across lines of therapy to achieve the longest durations of PFS by addressing the polyclonal nature of the disease
- Over 70% of MM is treated in the community setting adoption requires strong efficacy, tolerability and relative convenience
 - BCMA therapies are poised to become a new SoC, but broad uptake in community settings may be challenging due to current profiles
 ADCs: ocular toxicity (keratopathy)
 - > Bispecifics and CAR-Ts: cytokine release syndrome, neurotoxicity, neutropenia, conditioning regimens, extended hospitalizations
- Clinical development in myeloma typically begins with monotherapy treatment in RRMM before advancing in combination with SoC in first- and second-line settings

Nirogacestat has the potential to improve the benefit-risk profile of each type of BCMA modality and foster earlier and broader adoption

39 Note: MM: multiple myeloma; PFS: progression-free survival; RRMM: relapsed/wfractory multiple myeloma; SoC: standard of care. Sources: Braunlin et al., Leakentie and Lymphome, 2021; Facon et al., Lenced Oncology, 2021; Decision Resources Market Forecast Dashboard, 2021; IOVA.

Eight Clinical Collaborations Ongoing Covering All Key BCMA Therapeutic Modalities

Collaborator Program	Modality				Collaboration	0	
	ADC	Bispecific	CAR-T	mAb	Signed	Current Status	
GSK	BLENREP (belantamab mafodotin)	~				June 2019	Advanced into randomized Phase 2 trial
Allogene	ALLO-715			~		January 2020	Phase 1 trial ongoing
Janssen)	Teclistamab		~			September 2020	Phase 1 trial ongoing
	PBCAR269A			1		September 2020	Phase 1 trial ongoing
P fizer	Elranatamab		~			October 2020	Phase 1b/2 trial ongoing
OSeagen	SEA-BCMA				~	June 2021	Phase 1 trial planned
abbvie	ABBV-383		~			December 2021	Phase 1b trial planned
REGENERON	REGN5458		1			April 2022	Phase 1b trial planned
	Expecting addition	nal clin	ical data rele	ases for	BCMA co	llaboration trials	in 2022
							Rev Spring

SpringWorks is Leading the Mechanistic Understanding and Clinical Development of GSI + BCMA in Multiple Myeloma



41 Note: GSI: gamma secretase inhibitor.

Nirogacestat Has Been Validated Preclinically in Combination with BCMA Therapies Across All Key Modalities



42 Sources: (1) Eastman et al., ASH: 2019; (2) Kanuezz et al., AACR, 2020; (3) Balakumann, A. et al., "Combination therapies of chimeric antigen receptors targeting B-cell maturation antigen and gamma secretase inhibitors", World patient W02021148604A1, July 2021; (4) Yu et al., EFA, 2021.

RevingWorks

Gamma Secretase Inhibition Shown to Increase Membrane BCMA in Multiple Myeloma Patients



3 doses of GSI (JSMD194/Crenigacestat) over 1 week led to substantial increases in BCMA positive cells and BCMA cell surface density on myeloma cells from patient bone marrow aspirates

43 Source: Cowan et al., ASH, 2019. Note: GSI (gamma secretase inhibitor) data shown from crenigacestat.

With Longer Follow-up and More Patients, GSI Demonstrated Consistent Ability to Increase BCMA Receptor Density



GSI (JSMD194/Crenigacestat) treatment shown to reproducibly increase BCMA cell surface density on myeloma cells from patient bone marrow aspirates

44 Source: Cowan et al., ASH, 2021. Note: GSI (gamma secretaise inhibitor) data shown from crenigat

Preliminary Clinical Data Demonstrate That Nirogacestat Treatment Can Lead to Profound Expansion of BCMA CAR-T Cells in Relapsed/Refractory Multiple Myeloma Patients



When combined with nirogacestat, a low dose of allogeneic BCMA CAR-T cells (PBCAR269A) achieved a similar level of expansion and persistence as a 7-fold higher dose of CAR-T cells administered as a monotherapy

45 Note: DL: dos elevel. Source: Precision BioSciences investor materials (presented 12/11/21); preliminary data from ongoing Predision-sponsored trial (NCT04171843).

Most Advanced Nirogacestat BCMA Combination Is With Low-dose BLENREP (ADC)



BLENREP was approved in relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma (RRMM) in 2020

- BLENREP RRMM approval study (DREAMM-2) utilized 2.5 mg/kg Q3W monotherapy dose and schedule, resulting in deep and durable responses in a triple-class refractory population with a 31% ORR⁽¹⁾
- In DREAMM-2, a 44.5% Grade 3/4 keratopathy rate using KVA scale was observed keratopathy leading to dose modification in DREAMM-2: dosage interruption (47%), dose reduction (23%) and permanent discontinuation (2.1%)⁽¹⁾



- Low-dose BLENREP (0.95 mg/kg Q3W) not expected to be clinically active based on DREAMM-1 study (2/29 objective responses at ≤ 2.5 mg/kg Q3W BLENREP)⁽²⁾
- "The idea of using gamma secretase inhibition to increase expression of BCMA on the plasma cells to further optimize the regimen by reducing the dose, which hopefully, will maintain efficacy and potentially reduce ocular [toxicity] ... "– GSK 1Q22 Earnings Call (01/27/22)

Note: KVA Scale: Keratopathy and Visual Acuity Scale; CRR: objective response rate; Q3W; once every 3 weeks; REMS: Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy; RRMM: relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma 46 (1) BLENREP USPIL (2) Trude et al., Larenet Oncology, 2018.

Initial Low-dose BLENREP + Nirogacestat DREAMM-5 Cohort Has Advanced to Randomized Phase 2 Expansion Cohort



Additional sub-studies with standard-of-care agents expected to commence dosing mid-year

47 Note: SoC = standard of care.

Interim Data from DREAMM-5 Study Evaluating Nirogacestat in Combination with Low-dose BLENREP vs. BLENREP Monotherapy Presented at ASCO 2022

	Patient Characteristics		
	2.5 mg/kg BLENREP CE (N = 14)	0.95 mg/kg BLENREP+ 100 mg BID Nirogacestat CE (N = 14)	0.95 mg/kg BLENREP+ 100 mg BID Nirogacestat DE (N = 10)
High-risk cytogenetics	6 (43)	7 (50)	8 (80)
Extramedullary Disease Yes No	1 (7) 13 (93)	4 (29) 10 (71)	2 (20) 8 (80)
Autologous stem cell transplant Yes No	9 (64) 5 (36)	10 (71) 4 (29)	9 (90) 1 (10)
Prior lines of therapy, median (min – max)	4.5 (3 - 7)	4.5 (3 – 10)	4.5 (3 – 10)

48 Note: CE: Cohort Expansion; DE: Dose Exploration; BID: twice daily. Source: Lonial et al., ASCO, 2022.

No Significant Difference Noted in Grade ≥ 3 Non-Ocular AEs Between Combination and BLENREP Monotherapy at Interim Analysis

Drug-Related Grade ≥3 Adverse Events by System Organ Class and Preferred Term			
	2.5 mg/kg BLENREP CE (N = 14)	0.95 mg/kg BLENREP + 100 mg BID Nirogacestat CE (N = 14)	0.95 mg/kg BLENREP + 100 mg BID Nirogacestat DE (N = 10)
Blood and Lymphatic	2 (14)	4 (29)	3 (30)
Thrombocytopenia	2 (14)	3 (21)	2 (20)
Febrile neutropenia	1 (7)	1 (7)	1 (10)
Gastrointestinal	1 (7)	3 (21)	1 (10)
Diarrhea	1 (7)	2 (14)	1 (10)
Upper abdominal pain	2	1 (7)	-
Investigations	3 (21)	2 (14)	-
Blood magnesium decrease	-	-	-
AST increase	1 (7)		-
Platelet count decrease	2 (14)	1 (7)	-
Blood urea increase	-	1 (7)	-
General and administration site conditions	-	-	
Metabolism and nutrition	-	1 (7)	1 (10)
Hypophosphatemia	-	1 (7)	1 (10)
Injury and procedural complications	1 (7)		2 (20)
Infusion Related Reaction	1 (7)	-	2 (20)
Renal and urinary	-	1 (7)	
Proteinuria	-	1 (7)	-
Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal	1 (7)	-	
Pulmonary embolism	1 (7)		
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue	-		-
49 Note: Five patient deaths were also reported on study, all unrelated to study treatme Source: Lonial et al., ASCO, 2022.	nt.		Reving Works

Reduction in Ocular Adverse Events Observed with Combination Versus BLENREP Monotherapy at Interim Analysis

	2.5 mg/kg BLENREP	0.95 mg/kg BLENREP+ 100 mg BID Nirogacestat	0.95 mg/kg BLENREP+ 100 mg BID Nirogacestat
	CE (N = 14)	CE (N = 14)	DE (N = 10)
Grading Methodology	KVA	KVA	CTCAEv5
Number of Subjects with Any Ocular Event	12 (86%)	7 (50%)	6 (60%)
Grade 1	0	4 (29%)	2 (20%)
Grade 2	5 (36%)	2 (14%)	2 (20%)
Grade 3	7 (50%)	1 (7%)	2 (20%)
Grade 4	0	0	0
Median (range) number of treatment cycles	2.0 (1-5)	4.0 (1-9)	8.5 (1-29)
Median (range) follow-up duration (weeks)	12.0 (3-22)	12.0 (3-24)	34.5 (5-88)

Note: CE: Cohort Expansion, DE: Dose Exploration; BID twice daily; CTCAE: common terminology oriteria for adverse events; KVA keratopathy and visual acuity. Note: BLENREP + nice combination DE cohort No+10 was faily enrolled prior to the opening of the CE cohort. CE cohorts (total No+20) were consurrently randomized. 50 (1). So if the 0 patients who experimented an ocular event of any grade by the CTCAES scale had a KVA event of any grade. Source: Lonalet al., ARECO 2022.

Emerging Efficacy Profile of Low-dose BLENREP in Combination with Nirogacestat



 Comparable efficacy with nirogacestat + low-dose BLENREP vs. monotherapy BLENREP

Onset and Duration of Response In Responders (6/10) from the DE Cohort



 More mature data on profile of response to be presented at future congress, including data on complete CE cohorts (n=70)

Nirogacestat and low-dose BLENREP combination may provide an attractive benefit-risk profile compared to BLENREP monotherapy at approved dose given a reduced incidence of Grade 3 ocular toxicity while maintaining comparable efficacy

51 Note: PR: partial response; VGPR: very good partial response; BID: twice daily; CE: othort expansion, DE: dose exploration; Q3W: once every 3 weeks. Source: Lonial et al., ASCO, 2022. RevingWorks

Nirogacestat May Help Open the Path for BLENREP in Earlier Treatment Lines



Precedents support move from RRMM to NDMM

- Daratumumab demonstrated 29.2% single-agent ORR (12.2% VGPR or better) in the SIRIUS study⁽¹⁾ and subsequently significantly enhanced activity in combination with SoC (92.9% ORR with improved MRD and PFS) in the POLLUX study⁽²⁾ in RRMM
- SoC improvement in RRMM preceded evaluation and ultimate approval of daratumumab in earlier-line treatment

BLENREP has demonstrated increased response rate when combined with SoC

- BLENREP evaluated in combination with bortezomib, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone (VRd) regimen in transplant-ineligible . newly diagnosed MM patients at variety of doses and schedules(3)
- On interim analysis (n=36 patients), 83-100% ORR with significant VGPR rate reported, demonstrating proof of concept for additive efficacy of BLENREP in first-line patients
- Grade 3 ocular toxicity rate of 33-83% reported based on various doses and schedules evaluated

Preservation of BLENREP monotherapy efficacy with significantly improved ocular safety profile in RRMM could support broader development in combination with SoC agents across lines of therapy

Note: MRD: minimal residual disease;NDMM: newly diagnosed multiple myeloma; ORR: objective responserate; PFS: progression-free survival; POC: proof of concept; RRMM: relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma; SoC: standard of care; VGPR: very good partial response. Sources: (1) Lonial et al., Lancet, 2010; (2) Dimposites et al., MEJM, 2010; (3) Usmari et al., ASM, 2021. SpringWorks 52

Additional Data Readouts and Trial Starts Anticipated in 2022 Across Several BCMA Collaboration Programs

Nirogacestat evaluation in combination with BLENREP alone and in combination with standard of care agents

- DREAMM-5 Randomized Cohort Expansion (Phase 2): Updated data on additional patients with longer follow-up expected in 2H22
- DREAMM-5 Sub-studies: Enrollment to be initiated mid-year in cohorts combining low-dose BLENREP and nirogacestat with lenalidomide/dexamethasone and with pomalidomide/dexamethasone to support potential development in earlier lines of therapy

Nirogacestat evaluation in combination with other BCMA-targeted therapeutic modalities

- BCMA-CD3 bispecific antibodies: 2 clinical trials ongoing, 2 planned
- BCMA allogeneic CAR T-cell therapies: 2 clinical trials ongoing
- BCMA monoclonal antibody: 1 study planned

BCMA-targeted therapies are positioned to become a cornerstone of MM treatment – nirogacestat has potential to enhance risk/benefit profile across agents and lines of therapy



Mirdametinib

Mirdametinib: Potent and Selective MEK Inhibitor With Differentiated Safety Profile

- Mirdametinib is an investigational oral, allosteric MEK1/2 inhibitor with over 10 years of clinical experience
- Granted Orphan Drug Designation for NF1 by FDA and European Commission and Fast Track Designation for NF1-PN by FDA
- Ongoing Phase 2b ReNeu trial in NF1-PN is fully enrolled; NF1 is one of the largest genetic tumor predisposition syndromes with ~100k patients in the US today
- Compound potency, optimized dose/schedule, lack of food effect, limited DDI potential, and CNS exposure may allow for potentially differentiated development settings
- Monotherapy and combination studies ongoing in NF1-PN, low-grade glioma, breast cancer, RAS/RAF-mutated solid tumors and other indications

56 Note: NF1-PN: Neurofibromatosis type 1-associated plexiform neurofibroma; DDI: drug-drug interaction.



Biomarker-Guided Pipeline-in-a-Molecule Development Strategy for Mirdametinib



Sources: (1):Estimates are rounded and based on indidence reported by American Cancer Society Cancer Facts & Figures 2021 (US) and other sources as noted. (2) Rasmussen et al., AmJ Epidemiol., 2000; Ferrer et al., J Med Genet., 2007, 2020 U.S. Census data: (3) includes KRAS-mutant MSCIC and NRAS-mutant melanoma among other indications. Westood et al., Chird J Cancer, 2013; Manca-Couseib et al., Onco 7 Agets Ther, 2017. (4) Ostrom et al., Neuro Oncol, 2020. Note addressable

Mirdametinib: NF1-PN

L. Mary Smith, PhD, Chief Development Officer





Plexiform Neurofibromas Are Painful, Disfiguring Tumors That Grow Along Peripheral Nerve Sheaths

Disease Characteristics

- · NF1-associated plexiform neurofibromas (NF1-PN) patients present with significant morbidities
- NF1 mutations cause loss of neurofibromin, a key MAPK pathway repressor, leading to uncontrolled tumor growth across the body
- · NF1-PN grow along nerves and can lead to extreme pain and disfigurement
- · NF1 patients can experience neurocognitive deficits and developmental delays



- ~100,000 patients living with NF1 in the US
- NF1 patients have a ~30-50% lifetime risk of developing NF1-PN
- MEK inhibitors are a validated class for NF1-PN treatment
- Surgical resection is challenging due to the infiltrative tumor growth pattern along nerves and can lead to permanent nerve damage and disfigurement

& SpringWorks

Source: Kim et al., Sartovra, 201

Potentially Registrational Pediatric and Adult Phase 2b ReNeu Trial is Fully Enrolled

ReNeu



Trial Summary

- Study fully enrolled with 114 patients in 2 cohorts (pediatric, adult participants) across ~50 sites in the US
- 2 mg/m² BID dosing with intermittent course (4-week cycles of 3 weeks on, 1 week off) for up to 24 cycles
 - Maximum dose of 4 mg BID
 - Treatment duration designed to evaluate longer-term benefit of mirdametinib in NF1-PN

Study Endpoints

- Primary Endpoint: Objective response rate (≥20% reduction in tumor volume)
 - Blinded Independent Central Review (BICR) used for tumor assessments
- Secondary and Exploratory Endpoints: Safety and tolerability, duration of response, quality of life, and physical functioning assessments



Adult cohort fully enrolled in August 2021 and pediatric cohort fully enrolled in December 2021

80 Note: BID:twice daily: PN: plexiform neurolibroma.

Mirdametinib NF1-PN Program Highlights

- Mirdametinib has the potential to benefit a broader set of NF1-PN patients
 - MEK inhibitors are a proven therapeutic approach for NF1-PN
 - NF1-PN is rapidly emerging as a validated commercial market
 - No currently approved treatment for the adult NF1-PN population
 - Potential for approval of a pediatric formulation as well as the potential for a label which allows for dosing of mirdametinib without
 regard to food/drug effect may greatly decrease patient burden and increase access to therapy
- ReNeu study fully enrolled
 - 56 participants enrolled in the pediatric cohort and 58 participants enrolled in the adult cohort
 - Participants completing 24 cycles of treatment have entered the long-term extension phase of the study
- Regulatory status
 - Planned 2022 FDA interactions to align on data expectations and path to regulatory approval

Mirdametinib: Additional Expansion Opportunities

Jim Cassidy, MD, PhD, Chief Medical Officer



Phase 2 Trial in Pediatric Low-Grade Glioma Provides Additional Expansion Opportunity for Mirdametinib

PHASE 2

Trial Summary

- Open-label, multi-center study evaluating single agent mirdametinib, a brain penetrant MEK 1/2 inhibitor, in pediatric low-grade gliomas
- Recommended dose from Phase 1 dose-finding/doseescalation study will be used (2-4 mg/m², BID continuous)

Summary of Endpoints

- Primary Endpoint: Objective response rate
- Secondary Endpoints: Safety and tolerability, duration of response, and quality of life assessments



Manageable safety profile and blood-brain barrier penetration properties set the stage for a potential best-in-class profile for pediatric low-grade gliomas – initial data to be presented at ISPNO on June 13 and 14

63 Note: BID:twice daily, ISPNO: International Symposium on Pediatric Neuro-Oncology; LGG: low-grade glioma; RP20: recommended Phase2 dose.

Mirdametinib: MEK Inhibitors Can Potentially Address Endocrine Therapy Resistance Due to MAPK Mutations in ER+ Breast Cancer

- MAPK mutations in ER+ mBC cells can lead to fulvestrant resistance, which can be reversed with MEK inhibition
- ~25% of ER+ mBC patients progress on endocrine therapy
- · NF1 deficiency has been shown to enhance ER transcriptional activity leading to hormone resistance
- Up to 15% of mBC harbor MAPK pathway mutations, including NF1 LoF



Phase 1 trial ongoing for mirdametinib + fulvestrant in ER+ breast cancer patients with MAPK-mediated resistance

64 Note: B: buparis b:(PISK inhibitor); BC: breast cancer; F: fullweatant; LoF: loss of function; mBC: metast alloc breast cancer; S: selumetinib (MEK inhibitor); SoC: standard of care; V: vehicle. Source: Sokol et al., ESMO; 2019; Zheng et al., Cancer Call; 2020.

Mirdametinib: Activity Demonstrated in Preclinical Models Driven by Activating Mutations in MEK1 and MEK2

- Mirdametinib shows potent preclinical activity against Class 1 and Class 2 mutations in MEK1 and MEK2
- = MEK1 and MEK2 have been validated as oncogenic targets with mutations present in ~2% of solid tumors
- · Clinical case reports with allosteric MEK inhibitors also support utility of mirdametinib in tumors driven by MEK mutations



Phase 1 trial ongoing for mirdametinib in patients with MEK1/2-mutant solid tumors

.65 Source: Gao et al., Cancer Discovery, 2018; Hanrahan et al., Cancer Research, 2020.

Building on Mirdametinib's Potential Best-In-Class Profile, We Developed Our MAPK Targeted Portfolio with Complementary Combination Opportunities in Collaboration with BeiGene

Key Accomplishments from BeiGene Collaborations:



Demonstrated **activity and tolerability of vertical MAPK pathway in hibition** in RAS and RAF mutant solid tumors with mirdametinib + lifirafenib combination

Commenced first-in-human study of BGB-3245 and demonstrated **tolerability and monotherapy clinical activity** in tumor types of interest

Defined priority tumor types and mutations for **next stage of clinical development** across MAPK portfolio



Completed additional equity investment in MapKure joint venture to enable expanded focus and development

Mirdametinib + Lifirafenib: Combination Synergy Demonstrated Across RAS Mutant Preclinical Models Informed Phase 1 Study Design



Preclinical synergy demonstrated with mirdametinib and lifirafenib *in vitro* across *RAS* mutations and *in vivo* at clinically relevant doses

67 Sources: Desai et al., J Clin Cincol, 2020; Tang et al., Mol Canser Ther, 2015; Yuan et al., Molecular Oncology, 2020; Yuan et al., AACR, 2020.

Diverse Group of Solid Tumor Patients with MAPK Activating Mutations Enrolled in Dose Escalation Cohort of the Mirdametinib and Lifirafenib Study

As of 11/05/21

Baseline Characteristics	Overall, n (%)	
Patients treated	35 (100)	
Still on Treatment	13 (37.1)	
Sex		
Male	9 (25.7)	
Female	26 (74.3)	
Age		
Mean	58.3	
Median (Range)	60 (22-78)	
Cancer stage at entry		
III	5 (14.3)	
IV	30 (85.7)	

Baseline Characteristics	Overall, n (%)	
Prior systemic cancer regimens		
Median (Range)	3 (1-9)	
ECOG status at entry		
0	24 (68.6)	
1	11 (31.4)	
Location of Tumor		
Gynecological	21 (60.0)	
Gastrointestinal	9 (25.7)	
Lung	2 (5.7)	
Other	3 (8.6)	
Mutation Status		
RAS	22 (62.9)	
RAF	11 (31.4)	
NF1	2 (5.7)	

88 Note: Data are preliminary, investigator assessed, and have not been centrally reviewed, response data as of 11/06/21.

Mirdametinib + Lifirafenib: Clinical Efficacy Observed During Dose Escalation

As of 11/05/21



CR: complete response; CRC: colorectal loancer; HGSOC: high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma; LGSOC: low-grade serous ovarian carcinoma; MPNST: malignant perpheral news sheath turnor; PD: progressive disease; PR: partial response; SD: stable of disease. Note: Data are preliminary, investigator assessed, and have not been centrally reviewed; response data as of 11/05/21.

LGSOC Patients Demonstrate Potential for Long Term Responses and Tolerability

Enrollment data as of 05/11/22



 100% Disease Control Rate (DCR) with median time on therapy of ~26 months with 10 of 11 patients remaining on therapy (13-34 months) as of May 2022

73% ORR in LGSOC (1 CR + 7 PRs (out of 11), 3 durable SDs ≥72 weeks) with deepening or stable responses over time

· Responses seen irrespective of underlying RAS/RAF mutation (2 KRAS G12V, 2 KRAS G12D, 1 NRAS Q61R, and 3 BRAF V600E)

Combination clinical activity and tolerability demonstrated by durable antitumor activity (median time on therapy ~26 months in LGSOC)

70 Note: C.R.: complete response; LGSOC: low grade serous ovarian carcinoma; CRR: overall response; PR: partial response; SD: stable disease. Note: Data are preliminary, investigator assessed, and have not been centrally reviewed; enrolment data as of 05/11/22. Assumes 30 days in one month RevingWorks
Mirdametinib + Lifirafenib Patient Vignette - KRAS G12D-mutant LGSOC

- Patient initiated therapy in September 2020 and achieved a 77% reduction from baseline at Week 48 (time of first response: Week 8) by RECIST v1.1 with continued stability in tumor size and CA-125 levels
 - 62-year-old female with low grade serous ovarian carcinoma
 - 3 lines of prior treatment
- Investigator indicated patient experienced rapid symptomatic response
- Patient remains on therapy as of May 2022 (19.6 months on treatment)





75 Note: Data are preliminary, investigator assessed, and have not been centrally reviewed, response data as of 11/06/21. Enrollment data as of 05/11/22. Assumes 30 days in one month

Evidence of Acceptable Safety and Tolerability Profile with Multiple Patients Exposed for >2 Years

Grade ≥3 TEAE – All Cause		
MedDRA PT	Grade ≥3 / n (%	
Overall	15 (42.9)	
Thrombocytopenia + platelet count decrease	4 (11.4)	
Intestinal obstruction	4 (11.4)	
ALT increased	3 (8.6)	
Hypertension	2 (5.7)	
Abdominal pain	1 (2.9)	
Anemia	1 (2.9)	
AST increased	1 (2.9)	
Febrile neutropenia	1 (2.9)	
Hypertriglyceridemia	1 (2.9)	
Other ⁽¹⁾	1 (2.9) each	

Grade ≥3 TEAE – Related		
MedDRA PT	Grade ≥3 / n (%)	
Overall	8 (22.9)	
Thrombocytopenia + platelet count decrease	4 (11.4)	
ALT increased	2 (5.7)	
Hypertension	2 (5.7)	
Abdominal pain	1 (2.9)	
Anemia	1 (2.9)	
AST increased	1 (2.9)	
Febrile neutropenia	1 (2.9)	
Hypertriglyceridemia	1 (2.9)	

All-cause adverse event profile aligns with relapsed/refractory cancer patients generally; related TEAEs have been manageable with few treatment discontinuation due to adverse events; expected publication at a future medical conference

Note: MedDRA PT: Medsa Dictionary for Regulatory Activities Preferred Term; TEAE: treatment-emergini adverse event. 72 (1) One patient each with abnormal LFTs; gamma-GT Increased; nash meculogapular; urloans; bilary infection; urloans; bilary infection; respiratory tract infection; neoplasm progression; metastasis to spine; acute myocardial infanction; and bilary obstruction. Data catoff date of 11/08/21.

Joint Venture with BeiGene Created to Advance BGB-3245 Through Clinical Development

BGB-3245 Profile

- BGB-3245 is an investigational next-generation oral, selective small molecule RAF dimer inhibitor
- BGB-3245 has demonstrated 5-10x greater potency than lifirafenib in inhibiting RAF-dimer activity in preclinical studies, including CRAF kinase activity, dimer-mediated signaling, and cell proliferation
- BGB-3245 has shown greater kinome selectivity than lifirafenib:

Selectivity (Kinases	No. of Kinases for	No. of Kinases for
vs. V600E BRAF)	BGB-3245	Lifirafenib
≤10 fold	5	37

MapKure Background

- MapKure was formed as a joint venture between SpringWorks and BeiGene in 2019
 - MapKure licensed global rights (ex-Asia, including Japan) for BGB-3245 from BeiGene
 - At the time, BGB-3245 had finished preclinical development and was in INDenabling activities
 - SpringWorks and BeiGene together are the majority equity holders in MapKure
- Clinical development of BGB-3245 has been advanced through the joint venture
 - Cross-functional collaboration using resources and teams from both SpringWorks and BeiGene
- Scientific advisory board comprised of leading industry and academic experts
 - Neal Rosen, MD, PhD Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center
 - Toni Ribas, MD University of California, Los Angeles
 - Dejan Juric, MD Massachusetts General Hospital
 - Kevin Koch, PhD Founder and CSO, Array Biopharma

73 Source: BeiGene. Rev SpringWorks

BGB-3245: Clinical Data Update

Jim Cassidy, MD, PhD, Chief Medical Officer



BGB-3245 Monotherapy Phase 1a/1b Study Design



BGB-3245 Monotherapy Patient Characteristics in Dose Escalation

As of 02/26/22

Baseline Characteristics	Overall, n (%)	Baseline Characteristics	Overall, n (%)
Patients treated Still on Treatment	38 (100) 14 (36.8)	ECOG status at entry 0 1	24 (63.2) 13 (34.2)
Sex		Undocumented	1 (2.6)
Male Female	22 (57.9) 16 (42.1)	Classification of Tumor Gastrointestinal	13 (34.2)
Age Mean Median (Range)	58.6 57 (31-83)	Skin Female genitourinary Lung Thyroid	9 (23.7) 4 (10.5) 4 (10.5) 3 (7.9)
Cancer stage at entry III/other IV	5 (13.2) 33 (86.8)	Male genitourinary Brain Breast Other	2 (5.3) 1 (2.6) 1 (2.6) 1 (2.6)
Prior systemic cancer regimens Median (Range)	5 (0-10)	Mutation Status RAS RAF	11 (28.9) 27 (71.1)

Diverse group of solid tumor patients with RAS/RAF mutations enrolled

76 Note: Data are preliminary, investigator assessed, and have not been centrally reviewed. Encolment as of 00/26/22.

BGB-3245: Early Clinical Efficacy Observed in Dose Escalation

As of 02/26/22



Emerging Clinical Data Highlight Rapid Objective Responses in Metastatic BRAF V600 Melanoma Patients Progressed on Existing I-O and MEK + BRAF Treatments

As of 02/26/22

Patient Case Report#1

- 39-year-old man presented with BRAF V600E-mutated melanoma and had previously received 2 prior lines of treatment, including BRAF + MEK combination (dabrafenib + trametinib) followed by I-O therapy (ipilimumab + nivolumab)
- Began treatment on November 16, 2021 with 40 mg QD of BGB-3245 dosed continuously
- At the patient's first scan (Week 8), a 72% decrease from baseline in the target lesion was observed
- Dose and schedule were well tolerated, with Grade 2 rash and Grade 2 ALT elevation noted
- The patient remained on treatment at the time of data cutoff

Patient Case Report #2

- 57-year-old woman presented with BRAF V600E-mutated melanoma and had previously received 3 prior lines of treatment, including BRAF + MEK combination (dabrafenib + trametinib) and I-O therapy (ipilimumab + niv olumab)
- Began treatment on November 8, 2021 with 40 mg QD of BGB-3245, dosed continuously
- At the patient's first scan (Week 8), a 42% decrease from baseline in target lesions was observed – this radiological response also coincided with symptomatic relief
- Dose and schedule were well tolerated, with Grade 2 fever, Grade 1 diarrhea and Grade 1 rash noted
- . The patient remained on treatment at the time of data cutoff

We are anticipating monotherapy RP2D declaration 2H 2022 and expansion cohorts to commence thereafter

78 Note: IO. immuno-oncology; QD: once daily. Note: Data are preliminary, investigator assessed, and have not been centrally reviewed; data as of 2/28/22. Rev SpringWorks

BGB-3245 Emerging Safety Profile Manageable and Consistent with MAPK Pathway Inhibitors 2

Ac	of	0	1/*	10	122
20	01	0	1.7	10	

Grade ≥3 TEAE – All Cause		
MedDRA PT	Grade ≥3 / n (%)	
Overall	14 (41.2)	
Rash maculopapular	2 (5.9)	
Dyspnea	2 (5.9)	
ALT increased	3 (8.8)	
AST increased	2 (5.9)	
Abdominal pain	2 (5.9)	
Pyrexia	1 (2.9)	

Grade ≥3 TEAE – Related		
MedDRAPT	Grade ≥3 / n (%)	
Overall	4 (11.8)	
Rash maculopapular	2 (5.9)	

79 Note: TEAE: treatment-emergent adverse event. Note: Data are preliminary, investigator assessed, and have not been centrally reviewed. Safety data as of 01/10/22.

Future Development for Portfolio of BeiGene Collaborations

Mirdametinib + Lifirafenib

- · Complete Phase 1 dose finding 2H 2022 prior to expansion studies
- Data from ongoing study expected to be presented at a medical conference in 2H 2022

BGB-3245

- Complete dose escalation and determine RP2D in 2H 2022
- Data from ongoing study expected to be presented at a medical conference in 2H 2022
- Continue monotherapy development in BRAF non-V600 mutant solid tumors, post-BRAF/MEKi exposed BRAF V600 mutant melanoma and NRAS melanoma tumor types
- Commence proof of concept combination study with mirdametinib, focusing on KRAS (non-G12C) mutant tumors
 Combination study sponsored by SpringWorks
- MapKure equity financing completed in early June to further advance development for BGB-3245

- Participation from SpringWorks, BeiGene and other original investors in the joint venture

80 Note: R P2D: recommended phase 2 dose.

RevingWorks

Preclinical Pipeline

Mike Burgess, MBChB, PhD, Head of Research and Development



TEAD Inhibitor: Program in Lead Optimization With Selectivity, Potency and In Vivo Tumor Growth Inhibition Demonstrated in Hippo-Driven Models



82 Note: GI50 = drug concentration producing 50% maximal growth inhibition; P. O. = by mosth; QD = once a day; BID = twice a day

EGFR Inhibitor Portfolio: Developing Several Novel Targeting Approaches to Address De Novo Oncogenic Drivers and Resistance Mechanisms



- EGFR inhibition is a validated therapeutic approach limitations of existing agents center on development of resistance and subgroups with suboptimal responses
- SpringWorks is working with Dana-Farber Cancer Institute and Stanford on a portfolio of next-generation EGFR inhibitors
- Most advanced program is addressing EGFR C797S-mediated osimertinib resistance utilizing a novel chemical strategy and is currently in lead optimization

Three EGFR Inhibitors

First program targeting C797S mutants Two additional first-in-class approaches

EGFR Mutant Tumors

- Additional strategies being advanced to address de novo EGFR driver and resistance mutations through first-in-class targeting approaches
- Research will be conducted in collaboration with Dr. Nathanael Gray (Stanford) and Drs. Pasi Janne, Michael Eck, and Jarrod Marto (Dana-Farber)

The SpringWorks Opportunity





Value-Driving Data Readouts and Program Updates Anticipated Across the Pipeline in 2022

Milestone	Expected Timing
Nirogacestat	
Phase 3 DeFi topline readout in desmoid tumors	✓
Nirogacestat + BCMA therapies	
Phase 1 trial initiation with AbbVie (ABBV-383)	2H
Initial clinical data from Phase 1 combo trial with GSK (BLENREP)	~
Mirdametinib in pLGG	
Phase 1 b/2 initial data to be presented at the ISPNO Conference	June 13-14
Mirdametinib + Lifirafenib	
Phase 1 b/2 initial data readout in RAS/RAF-mutant solid tumors	✓
BGB-3245	
Phase 1 initial data readout in RAF-mutant solid tumors	~
TEAD inhibitor program	
Preclinical data at AACR	×
Potential for additional data readouts and updates from other programs	
 Additional clinical data from BCMA combo trials in RRMM 	Full year
 ReNeu trial for mirdametinib in NF1-PN 	

rilonal Symposium on Pediatris Neuro-Oncobgy: RRMM = relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma; LGG = low-grade glioma.

Note: ISPNO: Inter

Rev SpringWorks



Instructions

- · Call the appropriate participant dial-in number
- · Provide the operator with the conference ID

Dial-in numbers

- US: (844) 946-0285
- International: (602) 585-9676

Conference ID

• 4453188

Helpful keypad commands

- *0 Operator assistance
- *6 Self mute/unmute

87

Participants in Today's Q&A Session



Saqib Islam Chief Executive Officer

Badreddin Edris, PhD Chief Operating Officer

L. Mary Smith, PhD Chief Development Officer



Bhavesh Ashar Chief Commercial Officer



Mike Burgess, MBChB, PhD Head of Research and Development

Jim Cassidy, MD, PhD Chief Medical Officer

